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## Design Process of DES

## Classification of DES in the Field of Cryptology



## Political Climate

- Before 1972 cryptography was kept secret, reserved for military use
- In 1972 US National Bureau of Standards (now NIST) requested proposals for a cipher algorithm to be the standard for banking \& commerce
- IBM proposed Lucifer cipher, with 128-bit key
- NBS secretly asked NSA to to test its security
- NSA made it stronger so it could resist differential cryptanalysis
- And weaker by shortening the key to 56 bits


## Differential Cryptanalysis

- A portion of the cipher algorithm is approximated as series of XOR operations
- An XOR-based algorithm is found that is more likely to be correct than pure chance (50\%)
- Encrypting a large number of chosen plaintexts reveals information about the key
- The number of encryptions required is approximately $1 /(p-.50)^{2}$
- So if the approximation is 60\% correct, you need 100 encryptions to deduce the key
- Link Ch 3b


## DES Facts

- Data Encryption Standard (DES) encrypts blocks of size 64 bits
- Standardized 1977 by the NBS (now NIST)
- Most popular block cipher for most of the last 30 years.
- By far best studied symmetric algorithm.
- Nowadays considered insecure due to small key length of 56 bits
- But: 3DES is a very secure cipher, still widely used today.
- Replaced by the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) in 2000


## Confusion and Diffusion

## Confusion and Diffusion

Claude Shannon: There are two primitive operations with which strong encryption algorithms can be built:

1. Confusion: An encryption operation where the relationship between key and ciphertext is obscured.
Today, a common element for achieving confusion is substitution, which is found in both AES and DES.
2. Diffusion: An encryption operation where the influence of one plaintext symbol is spread over many ciphertext symbols with the goal of hiding statistical properties of the plaintext.
A simple diffusion element is the bit permutation, which is frequently used within DES.

## Confusion and Diffusion

- Confusion-only ciphers
- Caesar cipher, Enigma, Substitution cipher
- Not secure
- Diffusion-only ciphers are also insecure
- Product ciphers
- Use several rounds of confusion and diffusion to make better ciphers


## Product Ciphers



Most of today's block ciphers are product ciphers as they consist of rounds which are applied repeatedly to the data.

Can reach excellent diffusion: changing of one bit of plaintext results on average in the change of half the output bits.

Example:


## AES in Python

- Changing one bit of the key changes many bits throughout the ciphertext

```
|>> from Crypto.Cipher import AES
>>> key = "BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB"
>>> cipher = AES.new(key)
>>> cipher.encrypt("A secret message").encode("hex")
'181bbc3d48a9c6e9eba7476cab11e3aa'
>>>
>>> key = "BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBC"
>>> cipher = AES.new(key)
>>> cipher.encrypt("A secret message").encode("hex")
'0a7a6805eee7eb1864a1b63936a7275a'
>>>
```


## AES Keys in Python

-" B " is 01000010

- "C" is 01000011 Changed only the last bit

```
>>> bin(ord("B"))
'0b1000010'
>>> bin(ord("C"))
'0b1000011'
```


## DES in Python

- Changing one bit of the key changes many bits throughout the ciphertext
- Last bit of each byte is parity bit and is ignored (link Ch 3a)

```
>>> from Crypto.Cipher import DES
>>> key = "BBBBBBBB"
>>> cipher = DES.new(key)
>>> cipher.encrypt("A secret").encode("hex")
'0a4a66a2cf5b0244'
>>>
>>> key = "BBBBBBBC"
>>> cipher = DES.new(key)
>>> cipher.encrypt("A secret").encode("hex")
'0a4a66a2cf5b0244'
>>>
>>> key = "BBBBBBBD"
>>> cipher = DES.new(key)
>>> cipher.encrypt("A secret").encode("hex")
'be52c52606850742'
>>>
```


## DES Keys in Python

-" B " is 01000010

- "C" is 01000011
-"D" is 01000100


## Changed only the parity bit Changed two non-parity bits

```
>>> bin(ord("B"))
'0b1000010'
>>> bin(ord("C"))
'0b1000011'
>>> bin(ord("D"))
'0b1000100'
>>>
```


## Overview of the DES Algorithm

- Encrypts blocks of size 64 bits.
- Uses a key of size 56 bits.
- Symmetric cipher: uses same key for encryption and decryption

- Uses 16 rounds which all perform the identical operation
- Different subkey in each round derived from main key
anoot?


# Feistel Networks S-Boxes Key Schedule 

## The DES Feistel Network (1)

- DES structure is a Feistel network
- Advantage: encryption and decryption differ only in keyschedule

- Bitwise initial permutation, then 16 rounds

1. Plaintext is split into 32-bit halves $L_{i}$ and $R_{i}$
2. $R_{i}$ is fed into the function $f$, the output of which is then XORed with $L_{i}$
3. Left and right half are swapped

- Rounds can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{i} & =R_{i-1} \\
R_{i} & =L_{i-1} \oplus f\left(R_{i-1}, k_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Feistel Network

- Only encrypts the left half (L) of the input in each round
- Right half $(R)$ is used with the key to encrypt $L$
$-R$ is copied to the next round unchanged
- But the halves are switched in the next round, so $R$ gets encrypted there


Fig. 3.5 The Feistel structure of DES

## The DES Feistel Network (2)



## Initial and Final Permutation

- Bitwise Permutations.
- Inverse operations.
- Described by tables IP and IP-1.

Initial Permutation

|  | $I P$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | 50 | 42 | 34 | 26 | 18 | 10 | 2 |
| 60 | 52 | 44 | 36 | 28 | 20 | 12 | 4 |
| 62 | 54 | 46 | 38 | 30 | 22 | 14 | 6 |
| 64 | 56 | 48 | 40 | 32 | 24 | 16 | 8 |
| 57 | 49 | 41 | 33 | 25 | 17 | 9 | 1 |
| 59 | 51 | 43 | 35 | 27 | 19 | 11 | 3 |
| 61 | 53 | 45 | 37 | 29 | 21 | 13 | 5 |
| 63 | 55 | 47 | 39 | 31 | 23 | 15 | 7 |



Final Permutation

| $I P^{-1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 40 | 8 | 48 | 16 | 56 | 24 | 64 |
| 39 | 7 | 47 | 15 | 55 | 23 | 63 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 6 | 46 | 14 | 54 | 22 | 62 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | 5 | 45 | 13 | 53 | 21 | 61 |
| 36 | 4 | 44 | 12 | 52 | 20 | 60 |
| 35 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 43 | 11 | 51 | 19 | 59 | 27 |
| 34 | 2 | 42 | 10 | 50 | 18 | 58 |
| 33 | 1 | 41 | 9 | 49 | 17 | 57 |



## The f-Function

- main operation of DES
- $f$-Function inputs: $R_{i-1}$ and round key $k_{i}$
- 4 Steps:

1. Expansion $E$
2. XOR with round key
3. S-box substitution
4. Permutation


## The Expansion Function E

1. Expansion $E$

- main purpose: increases diffusion

| $E$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 1 |



## Add Round Key

2. XOR Round Key


## The DES S-Boxes

## 3. S-Box substitution

- Eight substitution tables.
- 6 bits of input, 4 bits of output.
- Non-linear and resistant to differential cryptanalysis.
- Crucial element for DES security!
- Find all S-Box tables and S-Box design criteria in Understanding Cryptography Chapter 3.

fourth row
third column

| $S_{1}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 14 | 04 | 13 | 01 | 02 | 15 | 11 | 08 | 03 | 10 | 06 | 12 | 05 | 09 | 00 | 07 |
| 1 | 00 | 15 | 07 | 04 | 14 | 02 | 13 | 01 | 10 | 06 | 12 | 11 | 09 | 05 | 03 | 08 |
| 2 | 04 | 01 | 14 | 08 | 13 | 06 | 02 | 11 | 15 | 12 | 09 | 07 | 03 | 10 | 05 | 00 |
| 3 | 15 | 12 | 08 | 02 | 04 | 09 | 01 | 07 | 05 | 11 | 03 | 14 | 10 | 00 | 06 | 13 |

## The Permutation $\mathbf{P}$

## 4. Permutation $P$

- Bitwise permutation.
- Introduces diffusion.
- Output bits of one S-Box effect several S-Boxes in next round
- Diffusion by E, S-Boxes and P guarantees that after Round 5 every bit is a function of each key bit and each plaintext bit.

| $P$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 7 | 20 | 21 | 29 | 12 | 28 | 17 |
| 1 | 15 | 23 | 26 | 5 | 18 | 31 | 10 |
| 2 | 8 | 24 | 14 | 32 | 27 | 3 | 9 |
| 19 | 13 | 30 | 6 | 22 | 11 | 4 | 25 |



## Key Schedule (1)

- Derives 16 round keys (or subkeys) $k_{i}$ of 48 bits each from the original 56 bit key.
- The input key size of the DES is 64 bit: 56 bit key and 8 bit parity:

- Parity bits are removed in a first permuted choice $P C-1$ :
(note that the bits $8,16,24,32,40,48,56$ and 64 are not used at all)

| $P C-1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57 | 49 | 41 | 33 | 25 | 17 | 9 | 1 |
| 58 | 50 | 42 | 34 | 26 | 18 | 10 | 2 |
| 59 | 51 | 43 | 35 | 27 | 19 | 11 | 3 |
| 60 | 52 | 44 | 36 | 63 | 55 | 47 | 39 |
| 31 | 23 | 15 | 7 | 62 | 54 | 46 | 38 |
| 30 | 22 | 14 | 6 | 61 | 53 | 45 | 37 |
| 29 | 21 | 13 | 5 | 28 | 20 | 12 | 4 |

## Key Schedule (2)

- Split key into 28-bit halves $C_{0}$ and $D_{0}$.
- In rounds i=1, 2, 9,16 , the two halves are each rotated left by one bit.
- In all other rounds where the two halves are each rotated left by two bits.
- In each round i permuted choice PC-2 selects a permuted subset of 48 bits of $C_{i}$ and $D_{i}$ as round key $k_{i}$, i.e. each $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is a permutation of $\boldsymbol{k}$ !


| $P C-2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 17 | 11 | 24 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 28 |
| 15 | 6 | 21 | 10 | 23 | 19 | 12 | 4 |
| 26 | 8 | 16 | 7 | 27 | 20 | 13 | 2 |
| 41 | 52 | 31 | 37 | 47 | 55 | 30 | 40 |
| 51 | 45 | 33 | 48 | 44 | 49 | 39 | 56 |
| 34 | 53 | 46 | 42 | 50 | 36 | 29 | 32 |

- Note: The total number of rotations:

$4 \times 1+12 \times 2=28 \Rightarrow D_{0}=D_{16}$ and $C_{0}=C_{16}$ !


## Decryption

- In Feistel ciphers only the keyschedule has to be modified for decryption.
- Generate the same 16 round keys in reverse order.
(for a detailed discussion on why this works see Understanding Cryptography Chapter 3)
- Reversed key schedule:

As $D_{0}=D_{16}$ and $C_{0}=C_{16}$ the first round key can be generated by applying PC-2 right after $P C-1$ (no rotation here!).
All other rotations of $C$ and $D$ can be reversed to reproduce the other round keys resulting in:

- No rotation in round 1.
- One bit rotation to the right in rounds 2, 9 and 16.
- Two bit rotations to the right in all other rounds.



## Security Analysis of DES

## Security of DES

- After proposal of DES two major criticisms arose:

1. Key space is too small ( $2^{56}$ keys)
2. S-box design criteria have been kept secret: Are there any hidden analytical attacks (backdoors), only known to the NSA?

- Analytical Attacks: DES is highly resistent to both differential and linear cryptanalysis, which have been published years later than the DES. This means IBM and NSA had been aware of these attacks for 15 years! So far there is no known analytical attack which breaks DES in realistic scenarios.
- Exhaustive key search: For a given pair of plaintext-ciphertext $(x, y)$ test all $2^{56}$ keys until the condition DES ${ }_{k}^{-1}(x)=y$ is fulfilled. $\Rightarrow$ Relatively easy given today's computer technology!


## History of Attacks on DES

| Year | Proposed/ implemented DES Attack |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1977 | Diffie \& Hellman, (under-)estimate the costs of a key search machine |
| 1990 | Biham \& Shamir propose differential cryptanalysis ( $2^{47}$ chosen ciphertexts) |
| 1993 | Mike Wiener proposes design of a very efficient key search <br> machine: Average search requires 36h. Costs: \$1.000.000 |
| 1993 | Matsui proposes linear cryptanalysis (243 chosen ciphertexts) |
| Jun. 1997 | DES Challenge I broken, 4.5 months of distributed search |
| Feb. 1998 | DES Challenge II--1 broken, 39 days (distributed search) |
| Jul. 1998 | DES Challenge II--2 broken, key search machine Deep Crack built by the <br> Electronic Frontier Foundation (EFF): 1800 ASICs with 24 search engines each, <br> Costs: \$250 000, 15 days average search time (required 56h for the Challenge) |
| Jan. 1999 | DES Challenge III broken in 22 h 15 m (distributed search assisted by Deep <br> Crack) |
| 2006-2008 | Reconfigurable key search machine COPACOBANA developed at the <br> Universities in Bochum and Kiel (Germany), uses 120 FPGAs to break DES <br> in 6.4 days (avg.) at a cost of \$10 000. |

## Deep Crack



COPACABANA


## Alternatives to DES

## Triple DES - 3DES

- Triple encryption using DES is often used in practice to extend the effective key length of DES to 112. For more info on multiple encryption and effective key lengths see Chapter 5 of Understanding Cryptography.

$$
y=D E S_{k_{3}}\left(D E S_{k_{2}}\left(D E S_{k_{1}}(x)\right)\right)
$$



- Alternative version of $3 D E S: \quad y=D E S_{k_{3}}\left(D E S_{k_{2}}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{DES}_{k_{1}}(x)\right)\right)$.

Advantage: choosing $k_{1}=k_{2}=k_{3}$ performs single DES encryption.

- No practical attack known today.
- Used in many legacy applications, i.e., in banking systems.


## Key Whitening

- Two additional 64-bit keys are used
- XORed with the plaintext and ciphertext, respectively
- Makes DES much more resistant to brute-force attacks
- One version of this is called DESX

$$
y=D E S_{k, k_{1}, k_{2}}(x)=D E S_{k}\left(x \oplus k_{1}\right) \oplus k_{2}
$$

## Alternatives to DES

| Algorithm | I/O Bit | key lengths | remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AES / Rijndael | 128 | $128 / 192 / 256$ | DES "replacement", <br> worldwide used <br> standard |
| Triple DES | 64 | 112 (effective) | conservative choice |
| Mars | 128 | $128 / 192 / 256$ | AES finalist |
| RC6 | 128 | $128 / 192 / 256$ | AES finalist |
| Serpent | 128 | $128 / 192 / 256$ | AES finalist |
| Twofish | 128 | $128 / 192 / 256$ | AES finalist |
| IDEA | 64 | 128 | patented |

## Lessons Learned

- DES was the dominant symmetric encryption algorithm from the mid-1970s to the mid-1990s. Since 56-bit keys are no longer secure, the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) was created.
- Standard DES with 56-bit key length can be broken relatively easily nowadays through an exhaustive key search.
- DES is quite robust against known analytical attacks: In practice it is very difficult to break the cipher with differential or linear cryptanalysis.
- By encrypting with DES three times in a row, triple DES (3DES) is created, against which no practical attack is currently known.
- The "default" symmetric cipher is nowadays often AES. In addition, the other four AES finalist ciphers all seem very secure and efficient.
anoot?

